Effect of various remineralizing agents on eroded enamel—an in vitro study
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To assess the effect of CPP-ACP (Tooth mousse, GC INDIA), CPP-ACPF (Tooth mousse plus, GC INDIA) and Arginine containing paste (Sensitive pro relief, COLGATE INDIA) remineralizing agents on enamel surface after erosive challenge.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Buccal and lingual enamel surfaces of the selected 20 molar teeth were used, and embedded in acrylic resin and enamel surfaces were ground flat with Silicon carbide paper disc. Samples were assigned into 4 groups with 10 samples each. Group 1 was the control with no treatment, Group 2 of CPP-ACP, Tooth mousse, GC INDIA, Group 3 of CPP-ACPF, Tooth mousse plus, GC INDIA and Group 4 of Arginine containing paste (Sensitive pro relief, COLGATE INDIA). The specimens were then exposed to erosive challenge and treatment. The surface roughness’s of the samples were evaluated before and after erosive cycles using surface contact profilometer.

RESULTS: There was no significant difference seen while comparing before and after values for the groups. In intragroup comparison, significant difference for RA before and RA after values in all 4 groups were seen.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Dental erosion is defined as loss of tooth substance by chemical processes not involving bacteria and caused by a variety of extrinsic and intrinsic factors.¹ By changing lifestyle during the recent decades, consumption of acidic foods and beverages has increased. Role of food acids as the main cause of erosion has been documented in numerous studies. Dentin hypersensitivity is usually associated with cervical erosion and has been suggested as a direct clinical outcome of erosion.²

Changes in dietary and oral hygiene habits, oral products, and toothpastes must be made to prevent or decrease the progression of erosion³, e.g. the dentifrice containing 8.0% arginine and calcium carbonate. Conventional fluorides, such as sodium fluoride (NaF) and amine fluoride (AmF), form a calcium-rich (CaF₂) layer on the tooth surface, which may then provide a physical barrier and a mineral reservoir promoting remineralization and thus modify the erosive process.⁴,⁵

It has been demonstrated that the application of high concentrations of fluoride increases abrasion resistance and decreases the development of tooth enamel erosion.
in some cases. Recent laboratory studies have shown that calcium-containing compounds can prevent dental erosion. CPP-ACP complex provides optimal concentrations of calcium and phosphate ions for enhancement of enamel remineralization.

GC Tooth mousse (TM) is a water-based sugar-free cream that contains CPP-ACP. When applied, it maintains optimal concentrations of calcium and phosphate ions on enamel surfaces to enhance remineralization.

The Nano complex CPP-ACP is a bioactive agent that increases the level of Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻ ions in the bacterial biofilm. During an erosive attack, the CPP-ACP could release Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻ ions, supersaturating the media with these ions and creating an environment favorable to enamel remineralization.

Since there are fewer studies comparing the effects of CCP-ACP, CCP-ACPF and arginine containing dentifrices; our study aims to assess the effect of Casein phosphopeptide-Amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP, Tooth mousse, GC INDIA), Casein phosphopeptide-Amorphous calcium phosphate with fluoride (CPP-ACPF, Tooth mousse plus, GC INDIA) and Arginine containing paste (Sensitive pro relief, COLGATE INDIA) remineralizing agents on enamel surface after erosive challenge.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty freshly extracted human molars with intact tooth structure were included. Teeth that were carious or vertically fractured were excluded.

SPECIMEN PREPARATION:

20 freshly extracted human molars were selected for the study (n=10 for each group). 40 Enamel specimens (4x4x2 mm) were prepared from the buccal and lingual surfaces of the selected teeth, using double faced diamond disc mounted on contra-angle handpiece and embedded in acrylic resin. Enamel surfaces will be ground flat with SiC paper disc. Samples were randomly assigned into 4 groups. Group 1: control group where no treatment was given, Group 2: Casein phosphopeptide-Amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP, Tooth mousse, GC INDIA), Group 3: Casein phosphopeptide-Amorphous calcium phosphate with fluoride (CPP-ACPF, Tooth mousse plus, GC INDIA) and Group 4: of Arginine containing paste (Sensitive pro relief, COLGATE INDIA).

EROSIVE CHALLENGE AND TREATMENT:

The enamel specimens were exposed to remineralizing agents before each erosive challenge. Specimens were immersed in 2% citric acid using separate containers, at room temperature, for 5 minutes 4 times per day. The specimens were rinsed thoroughly with the deionized water and immersed in artificial saliva at room temperature, between erosive challenges and overnight. This erosive challenge was repeated for 5 days. The 2% citric acid and artificial saliva was changed after every cycle.

ROUGHNESS MEASUREMENT:

The surface roughness’s of the samples were evaluated before and after erosive cycles using surface contact profilometer. Each sample was scanned with a diamond stylus across the surface under constant load and computes the numeric values representing the roughness of the profile as Ra. The Ra value described the overall roughness of a surface and is defined as the arithmetic mean value of all absolute distances of the roughness profile.

3 RESULTS

Table 1 shows comparison between the groups for before and after RA values. P = 0.897 (>0.05) for RA before values. There was no significant difference between the groups. , P = 0.000 (0.001) Significant difference groups were not same for RA after values . Group 3=Group4< Group2< Group1. Table 2 shows comparison of before and after values in each group. significant difference for RA before and RA after values in all 4 groups were seen. For group 1; RA after value was significantly higher than RA before values. For groups 2, 3 and 4; RA before value was significantly higher than RA after values. (Table 1)

| Table 1. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Median | Minimum |
| Ra(before) | 1 | 1.48 | 0.65 | 1.34 | 0.85 |
| 2 | 1.47 | 0.52 | 1.29 | 0.85 |
| 3 | 1.34 | 0.57 | 1.18 | 0.81 |
| 4 | 1.44 | 0.80 | 1.25 | 0.81 |

- for Group 1; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 2; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 3; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 4; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 5; Difference between the groups.

| Ra(after) | 1 | 1.48 | 0.65 | 1.08 | 0.81 |
| 2 | 1.47 | 0.52 | 1.29 | 0.85 |
| 3 | 1.34 | 0.57 | 1.18 | 0.81 |
| 4 | 1.44 | 0.80 | 1.25 | 0.81 |

- for Group 1; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 2; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 3; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 4; Difference between the groups.
- for Group 5; Difference between the groups.
Erosion is chemical tooth wear resulting from acids in foods and beverages. Role of acids in tooth erosion has recently come into the spotlight. Dentin hypersensitivity is among the direct outcomes of erosion that may occur in clean tooth surfaces. Acid reflux and acidic foods and beverages can dissolve the smear layer and expose dentinal tubules to the oral cavity resulting in aggravation of dentin hypersensitivity. The erosion could be prevented by high calcium concentration and possibly phosphate concentration. CPP-ACP has been well documented for re-hardening the softened enamel. ACP located at enamel surface probably buffered the free calcium and phosphate ion activities, causing the supersaturation of ions which depressed demineralization and enhanced remineralization. From another point, the treatment of CPP-ACP facilitated the formation of a crystal layer, filling the interprism, and partially covering the prisms, therefore preventing acid attack.

This study showed CPP-ACP, CPP-ACPf, and arginine containing pastes effective against erosion. Casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP–ACP) nanocomplexes are casein-derived peptides in which ACP is stabilized by CPP, and these nanocomplexes act as a calcium and phosphate reservoir when incorporated into the dental plaque and on the tooth surface. The remineralization effect of CPP–ACPf was found to be superior to that of CPP–ACP alone. It is likely that a combination of CPP–ACP and fluoride resulted in co-localization of calcium and phosphate ions with fluoride ions at the enamel surface, presumably as CPP–ACP nanocomplexes.

Jayaranjan et al. they concluded that because of the added benefit of fluoride (NaF 0.2%), CPP-ACPf (Tooth Mousse-Plus) showed marginally more amount of remineralization than CPP-ACP (Tooth Mousse). Poggio et al studied the evaluation of a CPP-ACP paste and of a desensitizing toothpaste (Colgate Sensitive Pro Relief, Colgate Palmolive) on preventing enamel erosion produced by a soft drink (Coca Cola) by using Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). They concluded that the use of new formulation toothpastes: a CPP-ACP paste (GC Tooth Mousse, GC Corp.) and a desensitizing toothpaste (Colgate Sensitive Pro Relief, Colgate-Palmolive) prevents enamel erosion produced by a soft drink (Coca Cola).

Colgate Sensitive Pro Relief was developed through the association of arginine, an amino acid that is positively charged at physiological pH, pH 6.5-7.4. Sensitive Pro Relief prevents erosive surface loss due to the possible effects of synergistic action between arginine and fluoride. The toothpaste containing arginine was shown to provide more effective protection because the results obtained showed significant difference in the profilometric tests. This fact may be attributed to the association of all components that are present in its formulation.

it is important to emphasize that the results of this study provide indications of what actually happens in the enamel surface. However, additional in situ and clinical studies with appropriate designs should be conducted to confirm these in vitro results. Sensitive Pro Relief prevents erosive surface loss due to the possible effects of synergistic action between arginine and fluoride. This dentifrice is a good clinical alternative to reduce dental erosion and sensitivity.
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